

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/769,397	01/26/2001	Karlheinz Drauz	201554US0X	4705
22850 7	12/08/2003		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			KIM, SUN U	
1940 DUKE S' ALEXANDRI			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1723	
	\sim		DATE MAILED: 12/08/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
مياس سولا کا	<i>09/</i> 769,397	DRAUZ ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John Kim	1723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe			L			
		•				
THE REPLY FILED 10 November 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice i) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	ply to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR						
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.			
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	r reconsideration has been consecution of the consecution of the continuation of the c	sidered but does NC	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-8						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 9-23.						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner				
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen						
10. Other:	ιτίο)(10-1449) Γάμοι Νυ(5)	 •				
Julei	,					

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: There is no evidence that the statements in paragraph 20 of the declaration filed 6/13/03 are supported by two cited journal articles in the paragraph 20. Examiner requests the specific portion of the journal articles supporting the statements in the paragraph 20.

JOHN KIM
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1700